



Appendix 2
2010 Summit Evaluation
 Advocacy in the Era of Evidence
October 17, 2010

1. Pre-conference Workshop: Introduction to Consumers United for Evidence-based Healthcare (CUE)

Objective: To familiarize newcomers to the mission, history and accomplishments of CUE

- Check here if you did not attend this session
 OR Circle the best answer for each item.

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
Objectives were met	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Maureen Corry	5	4	3	2	1
John Santa	5	4	3	2	1

2. Welcome address: Advocacy in the Era of Evidence

Objective: To communicate to consumers the messages and modes of delivering the messages that would be most effective when working with policymakers and the US Congress.

- Check here if you did not attend this session
 OR Circle the best answer for each item.

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Patricia Schroeder	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

3. Keynote address: How do we fight to get the consumer voice front and center in healthcare decision making: The vision ahead

Objective: To present a vision for consumer advocacy and how consumers can ensure that they have a seat at the decision-making table in health care and healthcare research.

- Check here if you did not attend this session
OR Circle the best answer for each item.

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Cornelius Baker	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

4. Panel Discussion: Consumer engagement and leadership

- **How can citizens and consumer advocates join with scientists to create a better future?**

Jean Slutsky

Objective: To present information about AHRQ's new Community forum and how consumer advocates can be involved.

- **Understanding enough about statistics to ask the right questions**

Steve Goodman

Objective: To communicate concepts that every consumer advocate should be familiar with to participate fully in evidence-based healthcare

- **Consumer involvement in Guidelines International Network (G-I-N)**

Richard Rosenfeld

Objective: To present G-I-N's objectives and to describe examples of how consumers have contributed to preparation of clinical practice guidelines

- Check here if you did not attend this session
OR Circle the best answer for each item.

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Panel member - Jean Slutsky	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
Panel member - Steve Goodman	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
Panel member - Richard Rosenfeld	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

5. Luncheon Keynote: **Communicating evidence: Lessons learned from USPSTF's recommendations on screening young women for breast cancer**

Objective: To describe the sequence of events leading up to announcement of the USPSTF's guidelines on mammography for women under 50 years of age, from systematic review to lessons learned on public dissemination

- Check here if you did not attend this session
OR Circle the best answer for each item.

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Objectives were met	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Ned Calonge	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

6. Panel Discussion: Global Consumer Action

- **CCNET - Consumer action in the Cochrane Collaboration**

Janet Wale

Objective: To consider vital areas for consumer action in The Cochrane Collaboration, including why consumers are needed, what we can hope to achieve and be recognised for, and how we can use what we learn to promote practical evidence-based healthcare appropriate to our needs

- **Demand for information**

Claudia Cattivera

Objective: To help the participant realize that they have the right and responsibility and in fact must demand information based upon scientific evidence

- **Raising Women's Voices for the healthcare we need**

Cynthia Pearson

Objective: To suggest ways to infuse the consumer movement related to access to care with the spirit of the movement for evidence-based health care

- **Results of survey of consumer involvement in systematic reviews: Challenges and opportunities**

Julia Kreis

Objective: To present different approaches of how consumers can be involved in the process of conducting systematic reviews, including current challenges and suggestions for future standards

- Check here if you did not attend this session
OR Circle the best answer for each item.

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Panel member - Janet Wale	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
Panel member - Claudia Cattivera	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
Panel member - Cynthia Pearson	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1
Panel member - Julia Kreis	5	4	3	2	1
Objective was met	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

Workshops

Please fill in the evaluation for each workshop you attended, and whether it was the morning or afternoon session. For each workshop that you did not attend, check the box where this is indicated.

Workshop A: Critical appraisal of the evidence: How to ask an answerable question (check relevant items)

- I did not attend this session
- I attended morning session
- I attended afternoon session

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions were answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Mark Helfand	5	4	3	2	1
Cathy Gordon	5	4	3	2	1

Workshop B: Critical appraisal of the evidence: What do the statistics tell us about the truth?

- I did not attend this session
- I attended morning session
- I attended afternoon session

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions were answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Steve Goodman	5	4	3	2	1
Cynthia Pearson	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

Workshop C: Critical Appraisal of the Evidence: A technology assessment case study

- I did not attend this session
 I attended morning session
 I attended afternoon session

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions were answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Naomi Aronson	5	4	3	2	1
Vicki Tosher	5	4	3	2	1

Workshop D: Clinical Practice Guidelines: Do they contribute to good quality care?

- I did not attend this session
 I attended morning session
 I attended afternoon session

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions were answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Marguerite Koster	5	4	3	2	1
John Santa	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

Workshop E: Who is a consumer and who gets to decide?

- I did not attend this session
 I attended morning session
 I attended afternoon session

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions were answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Barbara Warren	5	4	3	2	1
Janet Wale	5	4	3	2	1

Workshop F: Levels of evidence, benefits of each & when & how to use different kinds of evidence

- I did not attend this session
 I attended morning session
 I attended afternoon session

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
A. Quality of session					
Informative content	5	4	3	2	1
Adequate time allotted	5	4	3	2	1
Questions were answered to satisfaction	5	4	3	2	1
B. Quality of presentation by speaker					
Prathap Tharyan	5	4	3	2	1
Sallie Bernard	5	4	3	2	1

2010 Evaluation - *Advocacy in the Era of Evidence* (cont'd)

Overall Evaluation

	Yes	No	Not Certain
1. The program was presented without evident commercial bias or influence.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The program met my expectations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Please provide comments or suggestions: _____			
